APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,410	02/27/2004	Jong-jin Yi	Q78932	4531

23373 7590 05/03/2007		EXAMINER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC		ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		
SUITE 800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037	2629	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/787,410	YI, JONG-JIN
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Abbas I. Abdulselam	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 February 2007</u>.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,8-10,12-15,18 and 22</u> is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,7,11,16,17,19-21,23 and 24</u> is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☒ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   This office action is in response to a communication filed on 02/08/07. Claims 1-24 are

pending.

Response to Arguments

2.   Applicant's arguments filed on 02/08/07 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited references, Kavanagh (USPN 6809726) and (USPN

7106307) alone or in combination do not teach "a control unit for interrupting a response to the

touch input if the first coordinate values exist outside the active region according to the decision

of the decision unit". However, as shown in the art rejection below, Kavanagh teaches if the

coordinates for an actual touch points (20) are not valid, control logic processor (32) executes

recomputation step (42), or rejects compute coordinates (42) as indicated Fig. 5 (42), col. 5, lines

9-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to recognize Kavanagh's control logic processor (32) as configured in Fig. 4 for the

purpose of selectively maintaining or disregarding the result of an execution as taught by

Kavanagh.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.   Claims 1-2, 5, 8-10 , 12-15, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Kavanagh (USPN 6809726) in view of Cok (USPN 7106307).

Kavanagh teaches a touch screen system, comprising, (touchscreen display, col. 3, lines

13-18 and Fig. 3 (10)) a display unit for displaying at least one of a plurality of interfaces;

(coordinate boundary (18) such as circle and other shapes, col. 4, lines 12-14 and Fig. 3(18)) a

touch panel for outputting a signal in correspondence with a touch input on the display unit;

(display (10) with a calibration point (24) col. 4, lines 20-24 and Fig. 3 (10, 24), displaying at

least one calibration target and sensing a calibration touch for at least one calibration target, col.

2, lines 49-50 and col. 2, line 52. Note the term "calibration" refers to mapping that provides

correct alignment of touch panel coordinates to display coordinates, col. 1, lines 51-52) a

coordinate value storage unit for storing coordinate value information indicating an active region

of an active interface of the plurality of the interfaces; (control logic processor (32) includes

storage device, memory (48) which functions as a data base in which coordinates entered for

each valid calibration operation are stored, col. 4, lines 39-43 and fig. 4 (32, 48); note that valid

operation is meant within an acceptable boundary (18), col. 4, lines 61-64) a decision unit for

deciding whether the first coordinate values exist in the active region indicated by the coordinate

value information stored in the coordinate value storage unit, in a decision; (a control logic

processor (32) determines whether the coordinates for each touch point (20) are within an

acceptable coordinate boundary (18), col. 4, lines 61-64. As discussed above, the control logic

processor (32) also has a memory (48) in which coordinates entered for each valid calibration

operation are stored) and a control unit for interrupting a response to the touch input if the first

coordinate values exist outside the active region according to the decision of the decision unit (if

the coordinates for an actual touch points (20) are not valid, control logic processor (32) executes

recomputation step (42), or rejects compute coordinates (42) as indicated Fig. 5 (42), col. 5, lines

9-11).

While kavanagh teaches a control logic processor (32) obtaining the coordinates of the

actual touch point (20) for each calibration target displayed (col. 4, lines 56-58, Fig. 3 (20) and

Fig. 4 (32)), kavanagh does not teach calculating first coordinate values of the touch input based

on the signal outputted from the touch panel.

Cok on the other hand teaches an external controller 18 coordinating the application of

various signals to the touch screen 10, and performing calculations based on responses of the

touch sensitive elements to touches, in order to extract the (X, Y) coordinates of the touch (col.

1, lines 39-44 and Fig. 1 (18)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Kavanagh's touch screen control system shown in Fig. 4 to adapt

Cok's external controller 18 as configured in Fig. 1 because the use of an external controller (18)

helps compute a location of the touch in a touch screen (10) as taught by Cok (col. 1, lines 35-

37).

Regarding claim 2, Kavanagh teaches the coordinate value information stored in the

coordinate value storage unit is updated according to a first interface to be activated (if the touch

point 20 coordinates are verified to be within an acceptable coordinate boundary (18), control

logic processor 32 stores the verified coordinates in a data base 48 (co. 5, lies 3-5); note there is only one acceptable coordinate boundary (18) as shown in Fig. 3).

Regarding claim 5, Kavanagh teaches a control method for a touch screen system having a display unit for displaying at least one of a plurality of interfaces and a touch panel for outputting a signal corresponding to a touch input on the display unit, (touchscreen display, Fig. 3 (10), coordinate boundary (18) such as circle and other shapes, col. 4, lines 12-14 and Fig. 3(18), display (10) with a calibration point (24) col. 4, lines 20-24 and Fig. 3 (10, 24), displaying at least one calibration target and sensing a calibration touch for at least one calibration target, col. 2, lines 49-50 and col. 2, line 52.) comprising steps of: deciding whether the first coordinate values exist in an active region of an active interface of the plurality of the interfaces; (a control logic processor (32) determines whether the coordinates for each touch point (20) are within an acceptable coordinate boundary (18), col. 4, lines 61-64) and interrupting a response to the touch input if the first coordinate values exist outside the active regions as a result of the decision (if the coordinates for an actual touch points (20) are not valid, control logic processor (32) executes recomputation step (42), or rejects computed coordinates (42) as indicated Fig. 5 (42), col. 5, lines 9-11).

While kavanagh teaches a control logic processor (32) obtaining the coordinates of the actual touch point (20) for each calibration target displayed (col. 4, lines 56-58, Fig. 3 (20) and Fig. 4 (32)), kavanagh does not teach calculating first coordinate values of the touch input based on the signal outputted from the touch panel.

Cok on the other hand teaches an external controller 18 coordinating the application of

various signals to the touch screen 10, and performing calculations based on responses of the

touch sensitive elements to touches, in order to extract the (X, Y) coordinates of the touch (col.

1, lines 39-44 and Fig. 1 (18)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify Kavanagh's touch screen control system shown in Fig. 4 to adapt

Cok's external controller 18 as configured in Fig. 1 because the use of an external controller (18)

helps compute a location of the touch in a touch screen (10) as taught by Cok (col. 1, lines 35-

37).

Regarding claims 8, 18 and 22, kavanagh teaches one interface of the plurality of

interfaces is one of a box, a window, an icon, and a bar (coordinate boundary 18 shown as a

circle in Fig. 3 may take shapes such as a square, rectangle ellipse etc., col. 4, lies 12-14).

Regarding claim 9, Kavanagh teaches the signal is a predetermined sensing signal (the

calibration target corresponding to a previously determined calibration reference point; and

sensing a calibration touch for at least one calibration target, col. 2, lines 50-52).

Regarding claim 10, kavanagh's teaches the first coordinate values indicate a position of

the touch input (control logic processor 32 obtains the coordinates of the actual touch point 20

for each calibration target displayed, col. 4, lines 56-58).

Regarding claim 12, Kavanagh teaches the one interface of the plurality of interfaces is one of a box, a window, an icon, and a bar (coordinate boundary 18 shown as a circle in Fig. 3 may take shapes such as a square, rectangle ellipse etc., col. 4, lies 12-14).

Regarding claim 13, Kavanagh teaches the signal is a predetermined sensing signal (the calibration target corresponding to a previously determined calibration reference point; and sensing a calibration touch for at least one calibration target, col. 2, lines 50-52).

Regarding claim 14, Kavanagh teaches the first coordinate values indicate a position of the touch input (control logic processor 32 obtains the coordinates of the actual touch point 20 for each calibration target displayed, col. 4, lines 56-58).

Regarding claim 15, Kavanagh teaches interrupting the response comprises ignoring the touch input (Fig. 5 (42), rejecting compute coordinates, col. 5, lines 32-36).

Allowable Subject Matter

5.   Claims 3-4, 6-7, 11, 16-17, 19-21 and 23-24 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6.   **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abbas I. Abdulselam whose telephone number is 571-272-7685.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abbas abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2629

April 27, 2007

RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600